PORTLAND MAIN OFFICE

1000 SW Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, Oregon 97204 (503) 727-1000 www.usdoj.gov/usao/or

Scott M. Kerin Assistant U.S. Attorney Scott.Kerin@usdoj.gov (503) 727-1000 Reply to Portland Office



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

United States Attorney's Office District of Oregon Natalie K. Wight United States Attorney EUGENE BRANCH

405 E 8th Avenue, Suite 2400 Eugene, Oregon 97401 (541) 465-6771

MEDFORD BRANCH

310 West Sixth Street Medford, Oregon 97501 (541) 776-3564

January 17, 2025

Kara Sagi Assistant Federal Public Defender Federal Public Defender's Office 101 S.W. Main Street, Suite 1700 Portland, OR 97204

Re: United States v. Jennifer McConnon, Case No. 3:22-cr-00213-MO

Amended Plea Agreement

Dear Counsel:

- 1. <u>Parties/Scope</u>: This plea agreement is between this United States Attorney's Office (USAO) and defendant(s), and thus does not bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority. This agreement does not apply to any charges other than those specifically mentioned herein.
- 2. <u>Charges:</u> Defendant agrees to plead guilty to **Count 1** of the Indictment which charges her with engaging in a **Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess with Intent to Distribute Controlled Substances,** in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), 841(b)(2), and 846.
- 3. <u>Penalties:</u> The maximum sentence the Court may impose is a term of 20 years' imprisonment, a fine of \$1,000,000, and at least three years of supervised release. There is also a \$100 fee assessment. Defendant agrees to pay the fee assessment by the time of entry of guilty plea or explain to the Court why this cannot be done. Defendant further stipulates to the forfeiture of the assets as set forth below.
- 4. <u>Dismissal/No Prosecution</u>: At the time of sentencing the USAO will ask the Court to dismiss Counts 2, 3, and 4. The USAO further agrees not to bring additional charges against defendant in the District of Oregon arising out of this investigation, known to the USAO at the time of this agreement.

- 5. **No Enhancement**: The USAO agrees not to file for a sentencing enhancement under 21 U.S.C. § 851 if defendant has any prior felony drug convictions.
- 6. <u>Elements and Factual Basis</u>: In order for defendant to be found guilty of **Count 1 of the Indictment**, the government must prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:
 - A. First, that beginning on or about January 2020, and continuing through on or about September 16, 2021, within the District of Oregon and elsewhere, the defendant, and other persons whose identities are known and unknown, did knowingly and intentionally combine, conspire, confederate, and agree to distribute and possess with intent to distribute various Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances, to include:
 - 1. Tapentadol, a Schedule II controlled substance;
 - 2. Lorazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance;
 - 3. Alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled substance;
 - 4. Clonazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance;
 - 5. Diazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance;
 - 6. Carisoprodol, a Schedule IV controlled substance;
 - 7. Ketamine, a Schedule III controlled substance; and,
 - 8. Tramadol, a Schedule IV controlled substance.
 - B. Second, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of its object and intending to help accomplish it.

Defendant has fully discussed the facts of this case and any potential defenses with defense counsel. Defendant agrees that he has committed each of the elements of the crime to which defendant is pleading guilty, admits there is a factual basis for defendant's guilty plea, and that the government could prove the elements true beyond a reasonable doubt at trial.

Defendant admits that on or about January 2020, and continuing through on or about September 16, 2021, within the District of Oregon and elsewhere, she was a member of a vast international drug distribution organization being run out of India that was illegally distributing and possessing with the intent to distribute hundreds of thousands of real and counterfeit pills containing various Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances that were being sent into and throughout the United States for purposes of further distribution, including within the District of Oregon.

Defendant JOHN DOE # 1 a.k.a. "Bunny Jinn," was the organizer, leader, manager, and supervisor of this overseas drug distribution organization being run out of India, and he would

arrange, coordinate, and export packages of real and counterfeit pills and tablets containing various controlled substances, to include Tapentadol, Lorazepam, Alprazolam, Clonazepam, Diazepam, Carisoprodol, Ketamine, and Tramadol into and throughout the United States, including to defendant McConnon within the District of Oregon, for purposes of further distribution.

Defendant McConnon would, operating within the District of Oregon, receive, transport, store, repackage, conceal, possess with the intent to distribute, and then further distribute pills and tablets containing various Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances, to include Tapentadol, Lorazepam, Alprazolam, Clonazepam, Diazepam, Carisoprodol, Ketamine, and Tramadol, to customers throughout the United States.

Defendant McConnon would utilize encrypted messenger applications, cellular telephones, and text messaging services to communicate and further the distribution and possession with the intent to distribute pills and tablets containing various Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances, to include Tapentadol, Lorazepam, Alprazolam, Clonazepam, Diazepam, Carisoprodol, Ketamine, and Tramadol.

Defendant McConnon maintained premises in Keizer, Oregon for the purposes of storing, packaging, possessing with the intent to distribute, and distributing pills and tablets containing various Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances, to include Tapentadol, Lorazepam, Alprazolam, Clonazepam, Diazepam, Carisoprodol, Ketamine, and Tramadol, all Schedule II, III and IV controlled substances.

Defendant McConnon utilized a Post Office Box located in Keizer, Oregon to receive packages of pills containing controlled substances, to include Tapentadol, Lorazepam, Alprazolam, Clonazepam, Diazepam, Carisoprodol, Ketamine, and Tramadol for purposes of further distribution.

- 7. <u>Sentencing Factors</u>: The parties agree that the Court must first determine the applicable advisory guideline range, then determine a reasonable sentence considering that range and the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Where the parties agree that sentencing factors apply, such agreement constitutes sufficient proof to satisfy the applicable evidentiary standard.
- 8. Relevant Conduct: The parties agree that defendant was involved in the redistribution of various Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances for a period of time and the full extent of her relevant conduct is unknown. However, based upon the seizures connected to this investigation and the forensic analysis done on some of those seizures, the parties believe

Filed 01/21/25

U.S. v. Jennifer McConnon, Case No. 3:24-cr-213-MO Plea Agreement Letter Page 4

the defendants' relevant conduct, pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 1B1.3, 2D1.1(a), and 2D1.1, comment (n. 8) (Drug Conversion Tables) includes the following:

Controlled Substance	Approximate Weight Seized	Units	Converted Drug Weight
Tapentadol, a Schedule II controlled substance 1	24.1 kilograms	50,000	50 kilograms
Lorazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance ²	1.73 kilograms	26,188	1.636 kilograms
Alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled substance ³	274 grams	2,715	169 grams
Clonazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance ⁴	2.35 kilograms	15,829	989 grams
Diazepam, a Schedule IV controlled substance ⁵	449 grams	2,235	139 grams
Carisoprodol, a Schedule IV controlled substance ⁶	24 kilograms	40,500	2.531 kilograms
Ketamine, a Schedule III controlled substance ⁷	1 kilogram	2,474	2.4 kilograms
Tramadol, a Schedule IV controlled substance ⁸	(unknown but detected within the Ketamine seizure)	n/a	n/a

for an initial estimated Base Offense Level of 18.

9. **Specific Offense Characteristics:**

Mass Marketing: The parties agree that because defendant, or a person Α. for whose conduct the defendant is accountable under relevant conduct, distributed a controlled substance through mass-marketing by means of an

¹ Tapentadol is a opioid, classified as a depressant, and used to treat pain severe enough to require opioid treatment. Tapentadol may cause serious or life-threatening breathing problems. It is roughly equianalgesic to hydromorphone.

² Lorazepam is in a group of drugs called benzodiazepines and is used to treat anxiety disorders.

³ Alprazolam is in a group of drugs called benzodiazepines and is used to treat anxiety disorders, panic disorders, and anxiety caused by depression.

⁴ Clonazepam is a benzodiazepine that works to prevent seizures and is also used to treat panic disorders.

⁵ Diazepam is a benzodiazepine used to treat anxiety disorders, alcohol withdrawal symptoms, or muscle spasms.

⁶ Carisoprodol is a skeletal muscle relaxer that blocks pain sensations between the nerves and the brain.

⁷ Ketamine is a dissociative anesthetic that has some hallucinogenic effects.

⁸ Tramadol is an opioid medicine used for the short-term relief of moderate to severe pain,

- interactive computer service, her offense level should be increased by two levels, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(7).
- B. **Premises**: The parties agree that because defendant maintained a premises for the purpose of manufacturing (to include the packaging and repackaging for distribution) or distributing a controlled substance, her offense level should be **increased by two levels**, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(12).

10. Role Adjustment:

- A. Based upon defendant **McConnon's role in the offense**, the government believes she qualifies for a **two-level role reduction** for conduct that can be described as a **minor participant**, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2(b). Defendant is free to seek an additional role adjustment.
- B. U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1 Reduction: Should the defendant qualify for a Role Reduction, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2, the defendant's Base Offense may be decreased by additional levels, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(a)(5).
 - If defendant's Adjusted Base Offense Level is 32, she should receive an additional decrease of two levels.
 - If defendant's Adjusted Base Offense Level is 34 or 36, she should receive an additional decrease of three levels.
 - If defendant's Adjusted Base Offense Level is 38, she should receive an additional decrease of four levels.
- 11. <u>"Safety Valve" Adjustment</u>: If the Court determines that defendant's case satisfies the "safety valve" criteria in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(f) and U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(18), the government will recommend a **two-level downward departure** in defendant's Sentencing Guidelines range. By making any such recommendation, the government does not waive any objection to the Court's determination that the criteria in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(f) and U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(18) have been satisfied. Based upon what we know at this point we believe defendant(s) qualify for such relief.
- 12. **Zero-Point Offenders**: If the Court determines that defendant qualifies as a "Zero Point Offender," pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1, the parties will recommend a **two-level downward departure** in defendant's Sentencing Guidelines range. Based upon what we know at this point we believe defendant(s) qualify for such relief.

- 13. Acceptance of Responsibility: Defendant must demonstrate to the Court that defendant fully admits and accepts responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1 for defendant's unlawful conduct in this case. If defendant does so, the USAO will recommend a **three-level reduction** in defendant's offense level (two levels if defendant's offense level is less than sixteen). The USAO reserves the right to change this recommendation if defendant, between plea and sentencing, commits any criminal offense, obstructs or attempts to obstruct justice as explained in U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, or acts inconsistently with acceptance of responsibility as explained in U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1.
- 14. <u>3553(a) Variance</u>: Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), based upon the nature of the offense, the history and characteristics of the defendant, defendant's resolution of a complex case, defendant's performance on pretrial supervision, the mitigation information provided, and to achieve a fair and just resolution of the case, the government will recommend that the Court to grant defendant a **three-level downward variance** in her overall offense level.

The government will review any additional mitigation material prior to making a final sentencing recommendation to the Court.

- 15. <u>Guidelines Calculations are Estimates Only</u>: The guideline calculations above are set forth as estimates only and the parties understand that the final guideline calculations and Criminal History Category will be determined by the Court following the receipt of a Presentence Report and these initial estimates are not binding upon the parties. There is no agreement as to the defendant's criminal history or the applicability of any other Sentencing Guideline adjustments.
- 16. <u>Sentencing Recommendation</u>: Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the USAO will recommend no more than the **low-end** of the applicable guideline range, as long as defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility as explained above, to be followed by a term of supervised release.

17. Additional Departures, Adjustments, or Variances:

- A. The USAO agrees not to seek any upward departures, adjustments, or variances to the advisory sentencing guideline range, or to seek a sentence in excess of that range, except as specified in this agreement.
- B. **Defendant reserves the right to seek a downward departure, adjustment, or variance** from the applicable sentencing guideline range determined by the Court and understands that the government reserves its right to oppose such a request.

C. Defendant agrees that, should defendant seek a downward departure, adjustment, or variance from the applicable guideline range determined by the Court and Probation Office, defendant will provide the government with notice of: (1) the factual basis for such request; (2) any evidence defendant intends to introduce or rely upon at the sentencing hearing; and (3) any witnesses, including expert witnesses, defendant intends to call or rely upon at the sentencing hearing. Such notice must be provided to the government no later than the Wednesday prior to the week during which the sentencing hearing is scheduled. Defendant agrees that if defendant fails to comply with this notice requirement, defendant will not oppose a government motion for a postponement of the sentencing hearing.

18. <u>Forfeiture of Property</u>:

- A. <u>Assets and Authority</u>: By signing this agreement, defendant knowingly and voluntarily forfeits all right, title, and interest in and to all assets which are subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, that constitute the proceeds of defendant's criminal activity or were used to facilitate defendant's criminal activity in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 846 as set forth in Count 1 of the Indictment.
- B. <u>Agreement to Civil Forfeiture</u>: Defendant agrees not to file a claim or withdraw any claim already filed to any of the listed property in any civil proceeding, administrative or judicial, which has been or may be initiated. Defendant further waives the right to notice of any forfeiture proceeding involving this property and agrees not to assist others in filing a claim in any forfeiture proceeding.
- C. No Alteration or Satisfaction: Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to a jury trial on the forfeiture of assets. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives all constitutional, legal and equitable defenses to the forfeiture of these assets, including any claim or defense under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and any rights under Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Defendant further agrees forfeiture of defendant's assets shall not be treated as satisfaction of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon defendant in addition to forfeiture.

- D. <u>Title Assistance</u>: Defendant agrees to fully assist the USAO in the forfeiture of the listed assets and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States, including but not limited to surrender of title and execution of any documents necessary to transfer defendant's interest in any of the above property to the United States, and assist in bringing any assets located outside the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States, and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden, or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture.
- E. <u>Assets Not Identified</u>: The USAO reserves its right to proceed against any remaining assets not identified either in this agreement or in the civil actions which are being resolved along with this plea of guilty, including any property in which defendant has any interest or control, if said assets, real or personal, tangible or intangible, are subject to forfeiture.
- F. <u>Final Order of Forfeiture</u>: Defendant agrees not to contest entry of a Final Order of Forfeiture reflecting these forfeiture terms at the conclusion of the criminal case.
- G. <u>Abandonment</u>: Defendant, in signing this agreement, hereby abandons any and all interest to any of the property seized as part of the investigation that led to his indictment.
- 19. Waiver of Appeal/Post-Conviction Relief: Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to appeal from any aspect of the conviction and sentence on any grounds, except for a claim that: (1) the sentence imposed exceeds the statutory maximum, or (2) the Court arrives at an advisory sentencing guideline range by applying an upward departure under the provisions of Guidelines Chapters 4 or 5K, or (3) the Court exercises its discretion under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) to impose a sentence which exceeds the advisory guideline sentencing range as determined by the Court. Should defendant seek an appeal, despite this waiver, the USAO may take any position on any issue on appeal. Defendant also waives the right to file any collateral attack, including a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, challenging any aspect of the conviction or sentence on any grounds, except on grounds of ineffective assistance of counsel, and except as provided in Fed. R. Crim. P. 33 and 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). In the event that [any of] defendant's conviction(s) under this agreement are vacated, the government may reinstate and/or file any other charges, and may take any position at a resentencing hearing, notwithstanding any other provision in this agreement.
- 20. <u>Court Not Bound</u>: The Court is not bound by the recommendations of the parties or of the presentence report (PSR) writer. Because this agreement is made under Rule

11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, defendant may not withdraw any guilty plea or rescind this plea agreement if the Court does not follow the agreements or recommendations of the parties.

- 21. <u>Full Disclosure/Reservation of Rights</u>: The USAO will fully inform the PSR writer and the Court of the facts and law related to defendant's case. Except as set forth in this agreement, the parties reserve all other rights to make sentencing recommendations and to respond to motions and arguments by the opposition.
- 22. Breach of Plea Agreement: If defendant breaches the terms of this agreement, or commits any new criminal offenses between signing this agreement and sentencing, the USAO is relieved of its obligations under this agreement, but defendant may not withdraw any guilty plea. If defendant believes that the government has breached the plea agreement, defendant must raise any such claim before the district court, either prior to or at sentencing. If defendant fails to raise a breach claim in district court, defendant has waived any such claim and is precluded from raising a breach claim for the first time on appeal.
- 23. <u>Memorialization of Agreement</u>: No promises, agreements, or conditions other than those set forth in this agreement will be effective unless memorialized in writing and signed by all parties listed below or confirmed on the record before the Court. If defendant accepts this offer, please sign and attach the original of this letter to the Petition to Enter Plea.

Sincerely,

NATALIE K. WIGHT United States Attorney

<u> Isl Scott Kerin</u>

SCOTT M. KERIN Assistant United States Attorney

Acceptance of Plea Agreement (McConnon)

I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement with my attorney. I understand and voluntarily agree to its terms. I expressly waive my rights to appeal as outlined in this agreement. I wish to plead guilty because, in fact, I am guilty.

Jennifer McConnon

Defendant

I represent the defendant as legal counsel. I have carefully reviewed every part of this agreement with defendant. To my knowledge, defendant's decisions to make this agreement and to plead guilty are informed and voluntary ones.

Kara Sagi

Attorney for Defendant